

PROFESSOR: Here is where the power of this comes when you decide that you're going to invent all possible Hamiltonians at this moment. You've reduced the infinite dimensional space of functions in the line to two points, so you have a two-dimensional vector space, dramatic reduction.

So here we decide, OK, here is the Hamiltonian. And it's going to be a two-by-two matrix, and it better be Hermitian. So what options do I have? Well, Hermitian means transpose complex conjugated gives you back the same matrix. So let's try to parametrize such a matrix.

I could put a_0 , a real quantity here, and another real quantity in the bottom size. And if they are real, the transpose complex conjugate will remain the same. That's OK. So I could put a_0 and a_1 here.

I'll do it in a little different way. I'll put a_0 plus a_3 , and a_0 minus a_3 here. Now, the thing is that a_0 and a_3 have to be real. So I'll use a_0 , a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 . And they all should be real.

So here, transpose complex conjugate doesn't affect these things. They are the same. That's good. Here, we can a_1 minus ia_2 . This is a complex number. And the only thing that must happen is that, when I transpose a complex conjugate, I must get the same thing.

So I should put here a_1 plus ia_2 . Because if I transpose this, I will have it on this side. And then I complex conjugate it, and it becomes this term. Similarly, if I transpose this term, it goes here. But then complex conjugated, it becomes that.

So actually, I claim the most general two-by-two Hermitian matrix. Time independent-- you see, all our quantum mechanics this semester has been time independent potentials. So here it's time independent. And now, this is the most general Hamiltonian you could have. That's it. So when you see something like that, you realize that in an hour or two or after some thinking, you will have solved the most general dynamical system with two degrees of freedom in quantum mechanics.

So I will write this as a_0 times this matrix, plus a_1 times this matrix, plus a_2 times this matrix, plus a_3 times this matrix. That's exactly what you have in there. Multiply in these constants and add these matrices, and they give you all what we have.

So actually, these are the basic Hermitian two-by-two matrices. And if you multiply them by real numbers, you still are Hermitian. And if you add them, you still are Hermitian. So the most

general Hermitian matrix has four parameters.

And it is a space of matrices spanned by these four matrices. They are so famous, these matrices, that this is called sigma 1, this is called sigma 2, and this is called sigma 3. And they're called the Pauli matrices.

Well, but let's put units to these things. We want to write Hamiltonians. So let's make sure we have units that do the job. The Hamiltonian must have units of energy. So we could do a Hamiltonian that has units of energy. So I'll write $\hbar \omega$, which has units of energy, ω sigma 1, plus $\hbar \omega$ sigma 2, plus $\hbar \omega$ sigma 3.

Now you would say, well, why didn't you use the first matrix. I could have used the first matrix, but the first matrix is proportional to the identity. We already learned in our course that if you have an extra constant operator in the Hamiltonian, it doesn't change your calculations in any way. You had the Hamiltonian for the harmonic oscillator. It was $\hbar \omega N + 1/2$. And the $1/2$ was an additive constant that never played any important role.

So this would be an additive constant to the energy. It would tell you how you're measuring the energy from what level. So it's not very interesting. You can use it sometimes, but it's definitely not all that interesting.

So I'll do a little variation of this by writing ω sigma 1, $\hbar \omega$ sigma 2, plus ω sigma 2, $\hbar \omega$ sigma 3. And then you say, look, that's interesting, OK, I have an ω on this thing. But ω is fine. We know what it is. It's a frequency, 1 over time unit. But this has units of angular momentum.

\hbar has units of angular momentum. And the thing that is a little mysterious here is that we seem to have three of them. So maybe somehow this has to do with angular momentum. So let's investigate it a little bit.

Well, they have units of angular momentum. So maybe I can call some first component of angular momentum, $\hbar \sigma_1$, second component of angular momentum, $\hbar \sigma_2$, and the third component of angular momentum, $\hbar \sigma_3$.

Well, those are just names. But we can try to do a computation with them. We can try to see what is the commutator of S_x with S_y . And happily, these are matrices, so it's a natural thing to do commutators.

So you would have $\hbar/2, \sigma_1$, with $\hbar/2, \sigma_2$, commutator. And it's equal to $\hbar/2$ times $\hbar/2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$, minus σ_2, σ_1 .

So it's $\hbar/2$ times $\hbar/2$. And let's do this. σ_1 is 0, 1, 1, 0. σ_2 is 0, minus $i, i, 0$, minus 0, minus $i, i, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0$. OK, I have to do all that arithmetic. Happily, this is not that bad. Let's see if I don't make mistakes.

OK, here I get two terms, an i from the first, a 0 here, a 0, and a minus i here-- minus-- and minus i , a 0, a 0, and an i , which is $\hbar/2$, times $\hbar/2$, times-- oh, they don't cancel. They seem to cancel, but there's some minus-- it's actually twice-- of those, so $2i$ minus $2i, 0, 0$.

And here we get a 2 cancels this and then i goes out. So I'll have with this factor and i out is $i \hbar$ times $\hbar/2$, times the matrix 1 minus 1, 0, 0. Somehow, it gave that.

$\hbar/2, 1$ minus 1-- 1 minus 1 is σ_3 . And $\hbar/2 \sigma_3$ is S_z , so this is all S_z . So it's $i \hbar S_z$. So this stuff, S_x, S_y , is giving you $i \hbar S_z$. And that was exactly like angular momentum.

So not only it has the units of angular momentum, it has the commutation relations of angular momentum. Hermitian operators, two-by-two matrices, they used to be r cross p , all these derivatives, complicated stuff. Here it is-- with two-by-two matrices, you've constructed angular momentum.

What we've constructed at this moment is spin 1/2. A whole spin 1/2 system is nothing else than that-- angular momentum and the freedom of having two discrete degrees of freedom. The interpretation that what they have to do is spin up and spin down is something that physicists came up with. But the mathematics was there waiting as the simplest quantum mechanical problem.

Considering [? who wrote ?] the Schrodinger equation, maybe, if he had been more mathematically inclined, he could have discovered, five minutes later, spin. But he wanted to figure out the wave function of the hydrogen atom and scattering and all these very complicated things.

So needless to say, the other commutation relations work out. So if you check that S_y with S_z , you will get $i \hbar S_x$. And if you do finally S_z with S_x , you will get $i \hbar S_y$.

So these two-by-two matrices satisfy this property. And there is a little more to be said. I want to say a few more things about it because it's counter-intuitive and therefore very nice.

Half of the semester in 805 is devoted to spin $1/2$. It takes a while to understand it. So I wanted you to see it, at least once. And the problem is the physical interpretation takes time to get accustomed.

So on the other hand, we did write the Hamiltonian. So the Hamiltonian was $\omega_1 S_x$, plus $\omega_2 S_y$, plus $\omega_3 S_z$. And it's there-- S_1, S_2, S_3 , second line. And this is the Hamiltonian.

So people write it sometimes as ω dotted with an S vector, as it's saying it has three components, as ω has three components as well. And there's a lot of physics in this Hamiltonian. It's the simplest Hamiltonian, but it actually represents a spin in a magnetic field.

And what it will make it do, this Hamiltonian, if we solve the differential, this two-by-two matrix equation, we will find that the spin starts to precess. That's the origin of nuclear magnetic resonance, spinning, precessing spins, that the machine makes them precess. And they send a signal and you detect the density of different fluids in the body.